Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

ELSEVIER	Volume 64 · Issue 7 · July 2012 WAARINE POILLUTI BUILLETI	ISSN 0025-326X
	Baseline's 30 th Anniversary July 1908 - July 3018	rers

This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

Marine Pollution Bulletin 64 (2012) 1318-1319

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/marpolbul

Correspondence

Are the Eastern and Western Basins of the English Channel two separate ecosystems? Get back in line with some cautionary comments

The European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD 2008/56/EC-17/06/2008) will be implemented in regions/subregions determined by their hydrology, oceanographic and biogeographic features. In this framework, Dauvin (2012) claimed that the English Channel (EC) is characterized by hydrologic, oceanographic and biogeographic features that support its division in two main ecosystems: the Western Basin (WBEC) and the Eastern Basin (EBEC). We think that this statement is controversial and that the conclusions drawn by Dauvin (2012) were not supported by the data presented, especially regarding the use of the term 'ecosystem'. We therefore re-evaluated this viewpoint with regard to the data and terminology used.

To reach his conclusion, Dauvin 'examined the similarities and differences between the basins' based on a large table providing general characteristics of each 'basin' without any analysis. After this intuitive examination, the table 'indicates that the natural and human characteristics are very different for both of the basins of the EC, clearly distinguishing two different systems'. We extracted data from Table 1 of Dauvin (2012) and computed the Gower dissimilarity (D_{gower}) for EBEC and WBEC. This coefficient was chosen because it allows the use of mixed variable types (quantitative, qualitative, categorical, binary) and missing values (Legendre and Legendre, 1998; Podani and Schmera, 2006). Significance was tested by permutation (999 distances calculated after randomly shuffling the data in the table; Manly, 1991). Three cases were considered, being (i) the entire data set, (ii) the 'ecosystem' based data i.e. oceanographic characteristics, superficial sediment type, biological components and most significant alien species, and (iii) human activities. In the first and second cases, <u>D</u>gower was respectively, 0.66 and 0.50, non significant at the 0.05 level in both cases. A significant difference, however, was observed for human activities between EBEC and WBEC, with Dgow- $_{\rm er}$ = 0.85 (p < 0.05). Therefore, with the data provided and used by Dauvin (2012) in his viewpoint, these two areas cannot be separated neither from on an ecological nor a biogeographical base. However, human activities appeared to be different between the two zones considered.

Following Dauvin (2012), 'the functional observations show that the two basins of the EC correspond to two different ecosystems'. This is a speculation that is not supported by the data provided by the author. Trying to discriminate between two ecosystems with so little accurate data does not even fulfil the information required for the basic definition of an ecosystem, i.e. 'a community or a series of communities and the surrounding physical and chemical environment' following Nybakken (1997) or 'a community and the nonliving environment function together as an ecological system or ecosystem' sensu Odum (1971). As widely now recognized (Levin, 1999, 2003; Frontier et al., 2008), ecosystems are complex adaptive systems in which macroscopic dynamics emerge from numerous nonlinear interactions at both the smaller and larger hierarchical (spatio-temporal) scales. Analysing the English Channel in this context would be the only one that could lead to a functional conclusion, if any.

AARINE POLLUMKC BULLEMK

Most of the biogeographical analysis presented by Dauvin (2012) were essentially based on Cabioch et al. (1977), interpreting a survey from the 1972–1976 period (concerning only macrobenthic sessile epifauna). However, more recent results are currently available (only one of them was used by Dauvin (2012) for an area of the central Channel) and should have been discussed to take into account the spatio-temporal evolution of the fauna (potential 'biogeographical shifts') linked, for instance, to climate change (e.g. Lindley et al., 2010). These changes (not only 'challenges for the future') may cause trophic amplification in ecosystems on short time scales (e.g. pelagic birds: Luczak et al., 2011) and thus lead to the establishment of a new ecosystem dynamic regime through internal reorganisation.

As there is a huge amount of literature focusing on marine biogeography/bioregionalization including the EC (e.g. Arvanitidis et al., 2009; Longhurst, 2007; Spalding et al., 2007; Beaugrand et al., 2000), even a viewpoint should present the most complete literature synthesis on the topic. For instance, in the English Channel, Beaugrand et al. (2000) defined three zones characterized after their similar biological composition and their seasonal and interannual evolution (1979-1995) in plankton communities: the first zone corresponds to EBEC, the next to WBEC and the third is the Ushant front. Arvanitidis et al. (2009) examined whether biogeographical/managerial division across the European seas - i.e. OSPAR, IHO, Longhurst (2007), ICES, LME - could be validated using soft-bottom macrobenthic data. They found that the only marine biogeographic system supported by the analysis was the one proposed by Longhurst (2007), even if this partition was developed to interpret plankton multi-species distribution patterns as a function of regional oceanographic characteristics. These results suggested a strong bentho-pelagic coupling. Following Longhurst (2007), the EC is the area from the Strait of Dover west to Ushant and belongs to the Atlantic coastal biome and the Northeast Atlantic shelves province (NECS). This province runs from Cape Finisterre to Southern Norway. Spalding et al. (2007) proposed a global nested system for coastal and shelf areas: the Marine Ecoregions of the World (MEOW). In this classification, EBEC belongs to the North Sea ecoregion, WBEC to the Celtic seas ecoregion, with a boundary between EBEC and WBEC close to the one proposed by Dauvin (2012): Cotentin peninsula - Dorset. This brief overview of some of the published items highlighted some discrepancies but also some convergence with Dauvin's proposal but are overall in contradiction with Dauvin's statement that apart from macrobenthos, 'biological component are more homogeneous on the scale of EC (note that this statement itself de facto eliminates the potential existence of two ecosystems). As the author wrote that Correspondence/Marine Pollution Bulletin 64 (2012) 1318-1319

'the Channel can be defined as a biogeographical transition zone because located between the lusitanian and boreal provinces', the fundamental ecological and biogeographical statement that should be investigated is thus 'is the EC an ecotone?'.

In conclusion, EBEC and WBEC are far from being clearly distinguished with Dauvin's viewpoint. Classifying ecologically relevant marine zones, even considering only the seafloor, needs a statistical approach (e.g. Verfaillie et al., 2009). An in depth analysis of these complex systems with more complete and robust data is thus needed to rigorously answer the question from an ecological and biogeographical perspective. In this context results will enable appropriate subdivision and ecosystem-based management to be set in place. From Dauvin's paper and our subsequent analysis of his Table 1, it is however clear that WBEC and EBEC are exposed to different anthropogenic pressures and should thus probably be considered as two different 'regions' for their management (including in the MSFD). However, even for management, the terminology is important and these regions should not be named 'ecosystems'.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to L. Kilmister for unintentionally helping to come up with the title of this comment.

References

- Arvanitidis, C., Somerfield, P.J., Rumohr, H., et al, 2009. Biological geography of the European seas: results from the MacroBen database. Marine Ecology Progress Series 382, 265–278.
- Beaugrand, G., Ibanez, F., Reid, P.C., 2000. Spatial, seasonal and long-term fluctuations of plankton in relation to hydroclimatic features in the English Channel, Celtic Sea and Bay of Biscay. Marine Ecology Progress Series 200, 93– 102.
- Cabioch, L., Gentil, F., Glaçon, R., Retière, C., 1977. Le macrobenthos des fonds meubles de la Manche, distribution générale et écologie. In: Keegan, B., O'Ceidigh, P., Boaden, P. (Eds.), Biology of Benthic Organisms. Pergamon Press, pp. 115–128.
- Dauvin, J.-C., 2012. Are the Eastern and Western Basins of the English Channel two ecosystems? Marine Pollution Bulletin. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul. 2011.12.010.
- Frontier, S., Pichod-Viale, D., Leprêtre, A., Davoult, D., Luczak, C., 2008. Ecosystèmes Structure fonctionnement évolution, fourth ed. Dunod, Paris, 558pp.

Legendre, P., Legendre, L., 1998. Numerical Ecology. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 853pp.

- Levin, S.A., 1999. Fragile Dominion. Perseus publishing, Cambridge, 250pp.
- Levin, S.A., 2003. Complex adaptive systems: exploring the known, the unknown and the unknowable. Bulletin of the American Society 40, 3–19.
- Lindley, A., Beaugrand, G., Luczak, C., Dewarumez, J.-M., Kirby, R., 2010. Warmwater decapods and the trophic amplification of climate in the North Sea. Biology Letters 6, 773–776.
- Longhurst, A., 2007. Ecological Geography of the Sea. Academic Press, San Diego, 542pp.
- Luczak, C., Beaugrand, G., Jaffré, M., Lenoir, S., 2011. Climate impact on Balearic shearwater through trophic cascade. Biology Letters 7, 702–705.
- Manly, B.F.J., 1991. Randomization and Monte Carlo Methods in Biology. Chapman & Hall, London, 281pp.
- Nybakken, J.W., 1997. Marine biology. An ecological approach. 4th edition Addison Wesley Longman, Menlo Park, 481pp.
- Odum, E.P., 1971. Fundamentals of Ecology, third ed. Saunders Publishing, Philadelphia, 574pp.
- Podani, J., Schmera, D., 2006. On dendrogram-based measures of functional diversity. Oikos 115, 179–185.
- Spalding, M.D., Fox, H.E., Allen, G.R., et al, 2007. Marine Ecoregions of the World: a bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. BioScience 57 (7), 573–583.
- Verfaillie, E., Degraer, S., Schelfaut, K., Willems, W., Van Lacker, V., 2009. A protocol for classifying ecologically relevant marine zones, a statistical approach. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 83, 175–185.

Christophe Luczak

Université Lille 1 – Sciences & Technologies, Laboratoire d'Océanologie et de Géosciences, CNRS UMR 8187 LOG, Station Marine de Wimereux, 28 Av. Foch, BP 80, 62930 Wimereux, France

Université d'Artois, IUFM, Centre de Gravelines, 40 rue Victor Hugo, BP 129, 59820 Gravelines Cedex, France

Nicolas Spilmont*

Université Lille 1 – Sciences & Technologies, Laboratoire d'Océanologie et de Géosciences, CNRS UMR 8187 LOG, Station Marine de Wimereux, 28 Av. Foch, BP 80, 62930 Wimereux, France

Environmental Futures Centre and School of Environment, Griffith

University, Gold Coast Campus, QLD 4222, Australia * Tel.: +33 (0) 3 21 99 29 29.

E-mail address: nicolas.spilmont@univ-lille1.fr